ACAP 7/25/2007 Agenda Item 7

September 6, 2007

MEMORANDUM

To: Dr. Bettie Rose Horne, Chair, and Members, Committee on Academic

Affairs and Licensing

From: Dr. Gail M. Morrison, Director of Academic Affairs and Licensing

Consideration of Suggested Distribution Methodology for Nursing Faculty Salary Enhancements

Senate Bill 657 (Act. No. 49 of 2007), entitled "South Carolina Critical Needs Nursing Initiative Act," became law at the end of this legislative session. Although the legislation was not directly funded, a related portion of the law is being funded in FY 2007-08 per budget proviso 5A.27 which directs \$1,000,000 in funds for a "Critical Needs Nursing Initiative" for nurse faculty salary enhancements. The proviso is copied below.

5A.27. (CHE: Critical Needs Nursing Initiative) The funds appropriated to the Commission on Higher Education for the Critical Needs Nursing Initiative shall be used for nursing faculty salary enhancements. The commission, upon consultation with members of the Advisory Committee on Academic Programs (ACAP) from institutions with accredited nursing programs and the chairperson, or designee, of the South Carolina Council of Deans and Directors in Nursing Education, shall determine and distribute the funds to the institutions where such faculty are employed. The governing body of the institution, pursuant to its procedures, shall then allocate these enhancements among its affected faculty in such amounts as it determines appropriate consistent with their salary guidelines.

The permanent legislation will be used to inform the recommendation for distribution of the funds provided for FY 2007-08. Copied below is the relevant portion of the

permanent legislation relating to faculty salary enhancements. As information, full text copy of the legislation is available at http://www.scstatehouse.net/sess117_2007-2008/bills/657.htm

Section 59-110-40. (A) From the Critical Needs Nursing Initiative Fund based on available funds, it is the intent of the General Assembly that faculty salary enhancements be provided for nursing faculty at accredited nursing programs at the public institutions of higher learning at the two-year, four-year, and graduate levels. This enhancement is intended to bring salaries for nursing faculty within the average for the geographic area in which the State of South Carolina competes for nursing faculty. Salary enhancements shall be based on a twelvementh appointment and prorated for nine-month appointments.

(B) In regard to these faculty salary enhancements, the Commission on Higher Education, upon consultation with members of the Advisory Committee on Academic Programs (ACAP) from institutions with accredited nursing programs and the chairperson, or designee, of the South Carolina Council of Deans and Directors in Nursing Education, shall determine and distribute funds from the Critical Needs Nursing Initiative Fund to the institutions where such faculty are employed. The governing body of the institution pursuant to its procedures shall then allocate these enhancements among its affected faculty in such amounts as it determines appropriate consistent with the guidelines of this chapter.

From the legislation relating to salary enhancements, it can be understood that

- The legislation applies to all full-time nursing faculty at public institutions in associate and higher levels of degree programs;
- Participating institutions are public institutions with accredited nursing R.N. programs;
- The enhancements must be applied to nurse faculty salaries and are intended to bring salaries for nursing faculty within the average for the geographic area in which the State of South Carolina competes for nursing faculty;
- The salary enhancement should be made by the institutions based on a twelvemonth appointment and prorated for nine-month and other less-than-year appointments.

Given that there is \$1,000,000 in funding this year, the suggested methodology for this fiscal year is to allocate the total amount available by the total number of faculty (weighted for a 12 month appointment equal to 1 FTE and less-than-twelve-month appointments at less than 1 FTE.) Each institution would receive the indicated portion by this methodology, and it would be expected that institutions would then apply the funds received across nursing faculty in such a manner as to promote the intended goal

expressed in the permanent legislation of bringing faculty salaries in South Carolina to the averages of peer institutions in areas where South Carolina's institutions compete for faculty. Should additional funds for this initiative become available in future years, a more comprehensive distribution model will be developed to take into account differences across institutions relative to average nurse faculty salary and average out-of-state peer faculty salaries by rank and educational sector as well as, and perhaps even more importantly, general employment salary data for nurses. CHE staff have already begun to review available national peer average salary data for nursing faculty by sector and rank and will continue to work with the member institutions of the Advisory Committee on Academic Programs (ACAP) with accredited nursing programs and the designee of the Deans and Directors to propose appropriate benchmarks, as might be appropriate for future years.

The following table shows the results of the most recent data available (Fall 2006) from CHEMIS with respect to the numbers of faculty at each institution hosting an accredited R.N. nursing program and the weighted FTE for those faculty (based upon 1 FTE=1 twelve-month full-time appointment.)

FY 2007-2008 Proposed Allocation of Legislative Proviso 5A.27 to Enhance Nursing Faculty Salaries in South Carolina's Public Institutions of Higher Education

	Total			
	Faculty	Total Faculty Weighted		FY 2007-08
Raw Data	Headcount			Allocation
			% of Total	\$1,000,000
			% Of Fotal	\$1,000,000
Clemson University	24	18.00	6.28053%	62,805
U. S. C C olumbia	31	24.60	8.58339%	85,834
Medical University of South Carolina	31	31.00	10.81647%	108,165
Francis Marion University	8	6.25	2.18074%	21,807
Lander University	9	6.75	2.35520%	23,552
South Carolina State University	7	6.25	2.18074%	21,807
U. S. C Aiken	15	11.25	3.92533%	39,253
U. S. C Upstate	38	28.75	10.03140%	100,314
Aiken Tech	8	6.00	2.09351%	20,935
Central Carolina Tech	11	8.25	2.87858%	28,786
Florence-Darlington Tech	15	11.25	3.92533%	39,253
Greenville Tech	45	33.75	11.77599%	117,760
Horry-Georgetown Tech	15	11.25	3.92533%	39,253
Midlands Tech	30	22.50	7.85066%	78,507
Orangeburg-Calhoun Tech	11	8.25	2.87858%	28,786
P iedmont T ech	9	6.75	2.35520%	23,552
S partanburg Community College	9	6.75	2.35520%	23,552
Technical College of The Lowcountry	8	6.00	2.09351%	20,935
Tri-County Tech	13	9.75	3.40195%	34,020
Trident Tech	19	14.25	4.97209%	49,721
York Tech	12	9.00	3.14027%	31,403
Grand Total	368	286.60	100.00%	\$1,000,000

Recommendation

The staff recommends that the Committee on Academic Affairs and Licensing commend favorably to the Commission adoption of the distribution methodology proposed above.